

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,841	12/12/2003	Lap-Wai Chow	B-4425NP 621267-1	3188
36716	7590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100			LEE, EUGENE	
	LES, CA 90036-5679	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	2815		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	·	10/735,841	CHOW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Eugene Lee	2815			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
	Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ap</u>	<u>oril 2006</u> .	•			
,	,—	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		,			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l d'an anniment				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/21/06,2/27/06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/735,841 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/3/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sawada et al. 5,210,437. Sawada discloses (see, for example, FIG. 2E) a semiconductor device (camouflaged circuit structure) having a gate electrode (gate region) 27, substrate 21, source and drain diffusion layers (first active region/second active region) 28, and well (first well) 25. In claim 2, Sawada discloses the well is the same conductivity type (first conductivity type) as that of said source and drain layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,841 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 thru 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada et al. '437 as applied to claims 1, and 5 above, and further in view of Spadea 3,983,620. Sawada does not disclose a plurality of wells of a second type, at least one of said plurality of wells of a second type being in physical contact with said first active region. However, Spadea discloses (see, for example, Fig. 19) a semiconductor device comprising P+ source and drain regions 17, 17' and N+ guard rings (plurality or wells of a second type) 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a plurality of wells of a second type, at least one of said plurality of wells of a second type being in physical contact with said first active region in order to isolate the transistor from other elements in a semiconductor device.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata 4,860,084 in view of Kawagoe 4,145,701. Shibata discloses (see, for example, FIG. 4) a semiconductor integrated circuit device (semiconductor circuit) comprising a substrate 10, gate layer (gate region) 16, source region/drain region (plurality of active regions) 12, 14; and p-type semiconductor layers (plurality of wells of a second conductivity type) 78, 76. In column 5, lines 64-67, Shibata discloses the source region/drain region being n-type (first conductivity

Art Unit: 2815

type). Shibata does not disclose a first well of a first conductivity type. However, Kawagoe discloses (see, for example, FIG. 6b) a field effect transistor comprising a channel layer (first well) 3'. In column 3, lines 38-42, Kawagoe discloses the channel layer is formed by boron (first conductivity type). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a first well of a first conductivity type in order to have a high density of integration (see, for example, column 3, lines 59-63 of Kawagoe).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,841

Art Unit: 2815

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Lee June 2, 2006

> EUGENE LEE DRIMARY EXAMINER